arXiv:1609.07854v1 [math.DG] 26 Sep 2016

A parabolic Monge-Ampère type equation of Gauduchon metrics

Tao Zheng

Abstract. We prove the long time existence and uniqueness of solution to a parabolic Monge-Ampère type equation on compact Hermitian manifolds. We also show that the normalization of the solution converges to a smooth function in the smooth topology as t approaches infinity which, up to scaling, is the solution to a Monge-Ampère type equation. This gives a parabolic proof of the Gauduchon conjecture based on the solution of Székelyhidi, Tosatti and Weinkove to this conjecture.

1. Introduction

Let (M,α) be a compact complex Hermitian manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n \geq 2$. Then the real $(1,1)$ form associated to the Hermitian metric α (denoted by itself) is defined by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{-1}\alpha_{i\overline{j}}\mathrm{d}z^i \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j.$$

The Hermitian metric α is called *Kähler* if $\mathrm{d}\alpha = 0$, *Astheno-Kähler* (see [24]) if $\partial\overline{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} = 0$, *balanced* (see [29]) if $\mathrm{d}\alpha^{n-1} = 0$, *Gauduchon* (see [13]) if $\partial\overline{\partial}\alpha^{n-1} = 0$, and *strongly Gauduchon* (see [30]) if $\overline{\partial}\alpha^{n-1}$ is ∂-exact.

If (M,α) is a Kähler manifold, then Yau's solution [49] to the Calabi conjecture (see [2] for a parabolic proof using the estimates in [49]) says that for any given smooth positive volume form σ on M satisfying $\int_M \sigma = \int_M \alpha^n$, there exists a unique Kähler metric ω with $[\omega] = [\alpha] \in H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\omega^n = \sigma. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, Yau's theorem is equivalent to the following statement. Given any $\Psi \in c_1(M)$, the first Chern class, we can find a unique Kähler metric ω with $[\omega] = [\alpha] \in H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) = \Psi, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega)$ is the Ricci form of the Kähler metric ω and can be defined as

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\det\omega.$$

It is natural to ask whether there hold similar results when M does not admit a Kähler metric, but only a Hermitian metric α. If there is no any restriction on the class of Hermitian metrics, then we can solve (1.1) trivially by a conformal change of metric.

Tosatti and Weinkove [39] proved that for any Hermitian metric α on M, there exists a Hermitian metric ω of the form $\omega = \alpha + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u$ with $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that (1.2) holds. (cf. [3, 17, 38]

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 35J60, 32W20, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Gauduchon metric, (parabolic) Monge-Ampère type equation, $C^{2,\gamma}$ estimate, Liouville type theorem.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant No. 11401023, and the author's post-doc is supported by the ERC grant ALKAGE.

and see [17] for a parabolic proof based on [39]). Chu, Tosatti and Weinkove [6] recently proved similar results on almost Hermitian manifolds, based on which Chu [5] gave a parabolic proof.

Gauduchon [13] showed that there exists a unique Gauduchon metric up to scaling (when $n \geq 2$) in the conformal class of any Hermitian metric α.

Motivated by Yau's solution to the Calabi conjecture, Gauduchon [14, Chapter IV.5] proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Gauduchon [14]; 1984)**.** *Let M be a compact Hermitian manifold and Ψ be a closed real $(1,1)$ form on M with $[\Psi] = c_1^{\mathrm{BC}}(M) \in H^{1,1}_{\mathrm{BC}}(M,\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a Gauduchon metric satisfying (1.2), where $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega)$ is the Chern-Ricci form of ω and can be expressed as*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\det\omega.$$

Here $H^{1,1}_{\mathrm{BC}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ is the *Bott-Chern cohomology group* and its definition can be found in Section 2.

This conjecture can also be restated as follows.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let M be a compact complex Hermitian manifold and σ be a smooth positive volume form. Then there exists a Gauduchon metric ω on M satisfying (1.1).*

Very recently, Székelyhidi, Tosatti and Weinkove [36] solved this conjecture based on their previous works [35, 42, 43]. More precisely, they proved

Theorem 1.3 (Székelyhidi, Tosatti and Weinkove [36]; 2015)**.** *Let M be a compact complex Hermitian manifold with a Gauduchon metric α_0, and Ψ be a closed real $(1,1)$ form on M with $[\Psi] = c_1^{\mathrm{BC}}(M,\mathbb{R}) \in H^{1,1}_{\mathrm{BC}}(M,\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a Gauduchon metric ω with $[\omega]_A = [\alpha_0^{n-1}]_A \in H_A^{n-1,n-1}(M,\mathbb{R})$ solves (1.2).*

Here $H_A^{n-1,n-1}(M,\mathbb{R})$ is the *Aeppli cohomology group* and its definition can be found in Section 2.

Tosatti and Weinkove [43] deduced that to obtain this theorem it is sufficient to solve the following partial differential equation, which was also independently introduced by Popovici [32]. They sought a Hermitian metric ω on M with the property that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{n-1} =& \alpha_0^{n-1} + \partial\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\overline{\partial}u\wedge\alpha^{n-2}\right) + \overline{\partial\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\overline{\partial}u\wedge\alpha^{n-2}\right)} \\ =& \alpha_0^{n-1} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\wedge\alpha^{n-2} + \mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial u\wedge\overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ and α is a background Gauduchon metric. If α_0 is Gauduchon, then the metric ω is also Gauduchon. Note that there exists an $F \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha) = \Psi + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}F$. Now we can deduce that (1.3) is equivalent to

$$\omega^n = e^{F+b}\alpha^n$$

with some constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that Székelyhidi, Tosatti and Weinkove [36] solved the following equivalent equation (their paper solved a family of Monge-Ampère type equations including this one)

$$\log\frac{\det\left(*\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)}{\det\alpha} = \log\left(\frac{\det\omega}{\det\alpha}\right)^{n-1} = (n-1)(F+b),$$

i.e.,

$$\log \frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta u)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\right] + Z(u)\right)^n}{\alpha^n} = (n-1)(F+b), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\varpi = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} * \alpha_0^{n-1}$, $\Delta u = \alpha^{\overline{j}i}\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}u$,

$$\tilde{\omega} := \varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta u)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\right] + Z(u) =: \sqrt{-1}\tilde{g}_{i\overline{j}}\mathrm{d}z^i \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$Z(u) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} * \mathrm{Re}\left[\sqrt{-1}\partial u \wedge \overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right]. \tag{1.6}$$

This question is a variant of the one introduced by Fu, Wang and Wu [10] and also related to the notion of $(n-1)$ plurisubharmonic (Psh) functions (see [21, 22]).

More remarks about Conjecture 1.1 (also Conjecture 1.2) and applications of the methods in the proof of Theorem 1.3 can be found in [36] and references therein.

In this paper, we consider a parabolic version of (1.4), analogs to [2, 17, 5], as follows.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \log \frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta u)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\right] + Z(u)\right)^n}{\alpha^n} - \psi \tag{1.7}$$

with $u(0) = u_0 \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, and (1.5) and (1.6) with u evolved by the time $t \geq 0$.

It is easy to deduce that (1.7) is equivalent to the following flow

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_t^{n-1} =& -(n-1)\left(\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha_t) - \mathrm{Ric}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n-1}\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi\right) \wedge \alpha^{n-2} \\ &+ (n-1)\mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial\left(\log\frac{\alpha_t^n}{e^{\psi/(n-1)}\alpha^n}\right) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right),\end{aligned}$$

with initial metric

$$\alpha(0) = \alpha_0^{n-1} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u_0 \wedge \alpha^{n-2} + \mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial u_0 \wedge \overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right) > 0.$$

This flow preserves the Gauduchon condition if the initial metric α_0 is Gauduchon. Indeed, taking $\partial\overline{\partial}$ on both sides of (1.8), we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\partial\overline{\partial}\alpha_t^{n-1} = (n-1)\partial\overline{\partial}\left(\partial\gamma + \overline{\partial\gamma}\right) = 0,$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\overline{\partial}\left(\log\frac{\alpha_t^n}{e^{\psi/(n-1)}\alpha^n}\right) \wedge \alpha^{n-2},$$

as required. When $n = 2$ this flow can be seen as the "twisted" Chern-Ricci flow (cf. [9, 17, 18, 19, 40, 41, 45, 48, 50]). We show

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, α_0) be a compact Hermitian manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n \geq 3$ and α be a Gauduchon metric on M. Then there exists a unique solution u to (1.7) on $M \times [0, \infty)$ and if we define the normalization of u by*

$$\tilde{u}(x,t) := u(x,t) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_\alpha(M)}\int_M u(y,t)\alpha^n(y),$$

then $\tilde{u}$ converge smoothly to a function $\tilde{u}_\infty$ as $t \longrightarrow \infty$, and $\tilde{u}_\infty$ is the unique solution to (1.4) by taking $\psi = (n-1)F$, up to adding a constant $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ defined as in (8.3).

This gives a parabolic proof of the Gauduchon conjecture based on the solution of Szekelyhidi, Tosatti and Weinkove to this conjecture [36]. It is analogous to H.-D. Cao's parabolic proof of the Calabi conjecture [2] based on Yau's work [49], and to Gill's result in the Hermitian case [17] based on Tosatti and Weinkove [39].

Remark 1.5. *We can also consider another kind of parabolic flow of Gauduchon metrics, a revised version of Gill* [20]*, given by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_t^{n-1} = -(n-1)\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha_t)\wedge\alpha^{n-2} + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial\left(\log\frac{\alpha_t^n}{\alpha^n}\right)\wedge\overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right) \tag{1.8}$$

with $\alpha(0)=\alpha_0$*. Note that in the case* $n=2$ *this flow is exactly the Chern-Ricci flow.*

If the initial metric α_0 *is Gauduchon and* α *is Astheno-Kähler, then it is easy to deduce that the flow* (1.8) *preserves the Gauduchon condition. Taking Aeppli cohomology, we can deduce*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[\alpha_t^{n-1}]_A = -(n-1)[\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha)\wedge\alpha^{n-2}]_A,$$

the right side of which is independent of time t*. Note that Gill* [20] *also introduced a parabolic flow suggested by Tosatti and Weinkove* [42, 43]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_t^{n-1} = -(n-1)\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha_t)\wedge\alpha^{n-2} \tag{1.9}$$

which also preserves the Gauduchon condition under the same assumptions as above. However, if we take Aeppli Cohomology on the both sides of (1.9)*, then we get*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[\alpha_t^{n-1}]_A = -(n-1)[\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha_t)\wedge\alpha^{n-2}]_A,$$

the right side of which is dependent on the time t*.*

If M *is non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifold, i.e.,* $c_1^{\mathrm{BC}}(M)=0$*, then we can take* α *to be the Chern-Ricci flat Hermitian metric by Tosatti and Weinkove* [39] *and then it is easy to see that the flow* (1.8) *also preserves the Gauduchon condition.*

Using the method in this paper originated from [42, 43, 36]*, it follows that there exists a unique solution to* (1.8) *on* $M\times[0,T)$*, where*

$$\begin{aligned} T := \sup\Big\{ & t\geq 0:\ \exists\,\psi\in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that} \\ & \Phi_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi\wedge\alpha^{n-2} + \mathrm{Re}\left[\sqrt{-1}\partial\psi\wedge\overline{\partial}(\alpha^{n-2})\right] > 0\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Phi_t := \alpha_0^{n-1} - t(n-1)\mathrm{Ric}(\alpha)\wedge\alpha^{n-2}.$$

This solves a conjecture revised from [20, Conjecture 1.2].

Remark 1.6. *Let* M *be a compact complex manifold with two Hermitian metrics* ω_0 *and* ω*, and* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$*. Then for any* $F\in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$*, Tosatti and Weinkove* [42, 43] *proved that there exists a unique pair* (u,b) *with* $u\in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ *and* $b\in\mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\det\left(\omega_0^{n-1}+\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\wedge\omega^{n-2}\right) = e^{F+b}\det\left(\omega^{n-1}\right), \tag{1.10}$$

with

$$\omega_0^{n-1}+\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\wedge\omega^{n-2}>0, \quad \sup_M u = 0.$$

If ω is Kähler, then this is a conjecture of Fu and Xiao [12]*(see also* [10, 11, 31]*). We can also consider the parabolic version of* (1.10)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \log \frac{\left(\omega_h + \frac{1}{n-1}((\Delta u)\omega - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u)\right)^n}{\Omega}, \tag{1.11}$$

for a fixed volume form Ω and $\omega_h = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} * \omega_0^{n-1}$, where $*$ is respect to ω. Tosatti and Weinkove [42, Remark 1.5] *conjectured that the solutions to* (1.11) *exist for all time and converge (after normalization) to give solutions to* (1.10) *up to a constant. Using the method in this paper, we can confirm this conjecture.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we collect some basic concepts about Hermitian manifolds. In section 3, we give the uniform bounds of the normalization of the solution $\tilde{u}$ to (1.7). In section 4 and Section 5, we give the second and first order priori estimates of the solution u to (1.7) respectively. In Section 6, we prove the long time existence and uniqueness of the equation (1.7) claimed as in the first part of Theorem 1.4. In Section 7, we give the Harnack inequality for the equation (3.1) which will be used to prove the convergence of the normalization of the solution to (1.7) claimed as in the second part of Theorem 1.4 in Section 8.

Acknowledgements The author thanks Professor Valentino Tosatti and Professor Ben Weinkove for suggesting him this problem. The warmest thanks goes to Professor Valentino Tosatti for his helping the author overcoming the difficulties in the preparation for this paper, pointing out some mistakes in calculation, offering more references and so many other useful comments on an earlier version of this paper. The author also thanks Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly for some useful comments and Doctor Wenshuai Jiang for some helpful discussions.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, to avoid confusions, we collect some preliminaries about Hermitian geometry which will be used in this paper.

Let (M, J, g) be a Hermitian manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$, J be the canonical complex structure and g be the Riemannian metric with $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then in the real local coordinates $(x^1, \cdots, x^{2n})$ with

$$J\left(\partial/\partial x^i\right) = \partial/\partial x^{n+i},\ J\left(\partial/\partial x^{n+i}\right) = -\partial/\partial x^i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

we have

$$g_{ij} = g_{n+i,n+j}, \quad g_{i,n+j} = -g_{n+i,j}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, n,\ \alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, 2n,$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta} = g\left(\partial/\partial x^\alpha, \partial/\partial x^\beta\right)$. We can define a real 2-form ω by

$$\omega(X, Y) := g(JX, Y), \quad \forall\ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

This form is determined uniquely by g and vise versa. The volume element is usually defined by

$$\mathrm{d}V = \sqrt{\det(g_{\alpha\beta})}\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{2n}.$$

For any p form φ

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{p!}\varphi_{i_1\cdots i_p}\mathrm{d}x^{i_1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{i_p},$$

the star $*$ operator is defined by

$$\psi \wedge *\varphi = \frac{1}{p!}\psi_{j_1\cdots j_p}g^{j_1\ell_1}\cdots g^{j_1\ell_p}\varphi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p} * 1 = \frac{1}{p!}\psi_{j_1\cdots j_p}g^{j_1\ell_1}\cdots g^{j_1\ell_p}\varphi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p}\mathrm{d}V. \tag{2.1}$$

where $\psi = \frac{1}{p!}\psi_{i_1\cdots i_p}\mathrm{d}x^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{i_p}$ is another p form. We define inner product by

$$\langle\psi,\,\varphi\rangle := \frac{1}{p!}\psi_{j_1\cdots j_p}g^{j_1\ell_1}\cdots g^{j_1\ell_p}\varphi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p}.$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$*\varphi = \frac{1}{p!(2n-p)!}\sqrt{\det(g_{\alpha\beta})}\delta_{j_1\cdots j_pk_1\cdots k_{2n-p}}g^{j_1\ell_1}\cdots g^{j_1\ell_p}\varphi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p}\mathrm{d}x^{k_1}\wedge\cdots\mathrm{d}x^{k_{2n-p}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\delta_{j_1\cdots j_pk_1\cdots k_{2n-p}}$ is the general Kronecker symbol. It is easy to get

$$**\varphi = (-1)^{2np+p}\varphi, \tag{2.3}$$

where we do not omit $2np$ since the dimension can actually be any positive integer m.

In the complex local coordinates

$$z = (z^1,\cdots,z^n) = (x^1+\sqrt{-1}x^{n+1},\cdots,x^n+\sqrt{-1}x^{2n}),$$

we denote $\partial_i = \partial/\partial z^i,\ \partial_{\overline{j}} = \partial/\partial\overline{z}^j,\ i,\,j = 1,\cdots,n$. Then we have

$$g = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\overline{j}}\left(\mathrm{d}z^i\otimes\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j + \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j\otimes\mathrm{d}z^i\right),$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\overline{j}}\mathrm{d}z^i\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j, \tag{2.4}$$

where $g_{i\overline{j}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i,j}+\sqrt{-1}g_{i,n+j}\right)$. Then we can choose $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ as the volume element. Therefore, for any (p,q) form

$$\phi = \frac{1}{p!q!}\phi_{i_1\cdots i_p\overline{j_1}\cdots\overline{j_q}}\mathrm{d}z^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^{i_p}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{j_q},$$

using (2.1) and (2.2), we can deduce (see for example [28])

$$\begin{aligned}*\phi =& \frac{(\sqrt{-1})^n(-1)^{np+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\det g}{(n-p)!(n-q)!p!q!}\phi_{i_1\cdots i_p\overline{j_1}\cdots\overline{j_q}}g^{\overline{\ell_1}i_1}\cdots g^{\overline{\ell_p}i_p}g^{\overline{j_1}k_1}\cdots g^{\overline{j_q}k_q}\\ &\delta^{1\cdots\cdots n}_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_pb_1\cdots b_{n-p}}\delta^{1\cdots\cdots n}_{k_1\cdots k_qa_1\cdots a_{n-q}}\mathrm{d}z^{a_1}\wedge\mathrm{d}z^{a_{n-q}}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{b_1}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{b_{n-p}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\varpi\wedge*\overline{\phi} = \frac{1}{p!q!}\varpi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p\overline{k_1}\cdots\overline{k_q}}\overline{\phi_{i_1\cdots i_p\overline{j_1}\cdots\overline{j_q}}}g^{\overline{i_1}\ell_1}\cdots g^{\overline{i_p}\ell_p}g^{\overline{k_1}j_1}\cdots g^{\overline{k_q}j_q}\frac{\omega^n}{n!},$$

where $\varpi = \frac{1}{p!q!}\varpi_{i_1\cdots i_p\overline{j_1}\cdots\overline{j_q}}\mathrm{d}z^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^{i_p}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{j_q}$ is another (p,q) form and $\det g = \det(g_{i\overline{j}})$. We also define inner product by

$$\langle\varpi,\,\phi\rangle := \frac{1}{p!q!}\varpi_{\ell_1\cdots\ell_p\overline{k_1}\cdots\overline{k_q}}\overline{\phi_{i_1\cdots i_p\overline{j_1}\cdots\overline{j_q}}}g^{\overline{i_1}\ell_1}\cdots g^{\overline{i_p}\ell_p}g^{\overline{k_1}j_1}\cdots g^{\overline{k_q}j_q}.$$

Note that

$$*1 = \frac{\omega^n}{n!},\quad \overline{*\phi} = *\overline{\phi},$$

where the second equality shows that $*$ is a real operator. From (2.3), we can deduce

$$**\phi = (-1)^{p+q}\phi.$$

The following basic concepts of positivity can be found in for example [7, Chapter III].

A (p,p) form φ is said to be positive if for any $(1,0)$ forms $\gamma_j,\ 1\le j\le n-p$, then

$$\varphi\wedge\sqrt{-1}\gamma_1\wedge\overline{\gamma_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\sqrt{-1}\gamma_{n-p}\wedge\overline{\gamma_{n-p}}$$

is a positive (n,n) form. Any positive (p,p) form φ is real, i.e., $\overline{\varphi}=\varphi$. In particular, in the local coordinates, a real $(1,1)$ form

$$\phi=\sqrt{-1}\phi_{i\overline{j}}\mathrm{d}z^i\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j \tag{2.6}$$

is positive if and only if $(\phi_{i\overline{j}})$ is a semi-positive Hermitian matrix and we denote $\det\phi:=\det(\phi_{i\overline{j}})$. Similarly, a real $(n-1,n-1)$ form

$$\begin{aligned}\psi=&(\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+i+j+1}\psi^{\overline{j}i}\\&\mathrm{d}z^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}z^i}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^n\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^n\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

is positive if and only if $(\psi^{\overline{j}i})$ is a semi-positive Hermitian matrix and we denote $\det\psi:=\det(\psi^{\overline{j}i})$. We remark that for $(1,1)$ and $(n-1,n-1)$ forms one also has the stronger notion of positive definiteness, which is to require that the Hermitian matrix $(\phi_{i\overline{j}})$ (resp. $(\psi^{\overline{j}i})$) is positive definite. In this paper, we need this stronger notion.

For a positive $(1,1)$ form ϕ defined as in (2.6), we can deduce a positive $(n-1,n-1)$ form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}=&(\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+k+\ell+1}\det(\phi_{i\overline{j}})\tilde{\phi}^{\overline{\ell}k}\\&\mathrm{d}z^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}z^k}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^n\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^\ell}\wedge\cdots\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^n\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $(\tilde{\phi}^{\overline{\ell}k})$ is the inverse matrix of $(\phi_{i\overline{j}})$, i.e., $\sum\limits_{\ell=1}^{n}\tilde{\phi}^{\overline{\ell}j}\phi_{k\overline{\ell}}=\delta_k^j$. Hence we have

$$\det\left(\frac{\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)=(\det\phi)^{n-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore, we have

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M,g) be a complex n-dimensional Hermitian manifold. Then there exists a bijection from the space of positive definite $(1,1)$ forms to positive definite $(n-1,n-1)$ forms, given by*

$$\phi\mapsto\frac{\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

The above bijection can be found in [29] and proved by orthonormal basis. We can also use (2.7) and (2.8) gives the explicit formulae involved (cf. [42]).

For a real $(1,1)$ form ϕ defined as in (2.6) (no need to be positive), (2.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}*\phi=&(\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n+k+\ell+1}(\det\omega)\phi^{\overline{\ell}k}\\&\mathrm{d}z^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}z^k}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^n\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^\ell}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^n,\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\phi^{\overline{\ell}k}=g^{\overline{\ell}i}\phi_{i\overline{j}}g^{\overline{j}k}$. Hence, if ξ is another real $(1,1)$ form with $\det\xi\neq0$, then we can deduce

$$\frac{\det(*\phi)}{\det(*\xi)}=\frac{\det\phi}{\det\xi}. \tag{2.11}$$

We need the following useful formulae and the proofs are direct and complicated computation.

Lemma 2.2 (see for example [43]). *For any real* $(1,1)$ *form* $\chi = \sqrt{-1}\chi_{i\overline{j}}\mathrm{d}z^i \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^j$, *we have*

$$*(\chi \wedge \omega^{n-2}) = (n-2)!\sqrt{-1}\left[(\mathrm{tr}_\omega \chi)\omega - \chi\right]. \tag{2.12}$$

The Christoffel symbols, torsion and curvature of Chern connection (see for example [40]) are

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{ij}^k =& g^{\overline{q}k}\partial_i g_{j\overline{q}}, \quad T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k,\\ R_{i\overline{j}k}{}^{\ell} =& -\partial_{\overline{j}}\Gamma_{ik}^{\ell}, \quad R_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} = R_{i\overline{j}k}{}^{p} g_{p\overline{\ell}}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$T_{ij\overline{\ell}} := T_{ij}^k g_{k\overline{\ell}} = g^{\overline{q}k}\left(\partial_i g_{j\overline{q}} - \partial_j g_{i\overline{q}}\right) g_{k\overline{\ell}} = \partial_i g_{j\overline{\ell}} - \partial_j g_{i\overline{\ell}}.$$

For a $(1,0)$ form $a = a_\ell \mathrm{d}z^\ell$, define covariant derivative $\nabla_i a_\ell$ by

$$\nabla_i a_\ell := \partial_i a_\ell - \Gamma_{i\ell}^p a_p.$$

Then we can deduce

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_{\overline{j}}]a_\ell = -R_{i\overline{j}\ell}{}^p a_p, \quad [\nabla_i, \nabla_{\overline{j}}]a_{\overline{m}} = R_{i\overline{j}}{}^{\overline{q}}{}_{\overline{m}} a_{\overline{q}}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $R_{i\overline{j}}{}^{\overline{q}}{}_{\overline{m}} = R_{i\overline{j}p}{}^{\ell} g^{\overline{q}p} g_{\ell\overline{m}}$. For any $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\nabla_i u = \partial_i u =: u_i, \quad \nabla_{\overline{j}} u = \partial_{\overline{j}} u =: u_{\overline{j}}, \quad \nabla_{\overline{j}}\nabla_i u = \partial_{\overline{j}}\partial_i u =: u_{i\overline{j}}, \quad [\nabla_i, \nabla_j]u = -T_{ij}^p u_p. \tag{2.14}$$

Using (2.13), we can get the following commutation formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\ell u_{i\overline{j}} =& \nabla_{\overline{j}}\nabla_\ell u_i - R_{\ell\overline{j}i}{}^p u_p,\ \nabla_{\overline{m}} u_{p\overline{j}} = \nabla_{\overline{j}} u_{p\overline{m}} - \overline{T_{mj}^q} u_{p\overline{q}},\ \nabla_\ell u_{i\overline{q}} = \nabla_i u_{\ell\overline{q}} - T_{\ell i}^p u_{p\overline{q}},\\ \nabla_{\overline{m}}\nabla_\ell u_{i\overline{j}} =& \nabla_{\overline{j}}\nabla_i u_{\ell\overline{m}} + R_{\ell\overline{m}i}{}^p u_{p\overline{j}} - R_{i\overline{j}\ell}{}^p u_{p\overline{m}} - T_{\ell i}^p \nabla_{\overline{j}} u_{p\overline{m}} - \overline{T_{mj}^q}\nabla_i u_{\ell\overline{q}} - T_{i\ell}^p \overline{T_{mj}^q} u_{p\overline{q}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.3. *For any* $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, *we have*

$$*(\partial u \wedge \overline{\partial}(\omega^{n-2})) = (n-2)!\left(u_p\overline{T_{\ell q}^\ell} - \overline{T_{\ell j}^\ell} g^{\overline{j}i} u_i g_{p\overline{q}} - g_{p\overline{\ell}} g^{\overline{\ell_1}k} u_k \overline{T_{\ell_1 q}^\ell}\right)\mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \tag{2.16}$$

Note that $*$ is a real operator, from Lemma 2.3, we can deduce the formula in [36] as follows.

Corollary 2.4. *For any* $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, *we have*

$$Z(u) := \frac{1}{(n-1)!} * \left[\mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial u \wedge \overline{\partial}(\omega^{n-2})\right)\right] = \sqrt{-1} Z_{p\overline{q}} \mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{p\overline{q}} =& \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Big[u_p\overline{T_{\ell q}^\ell} - \overline{T_{\ell j}^\ell} g^{\overline{j}i} u_i g_{p\overline{q}} - g_{p\overline{\ell}} g^{\overline{\ell_1}k} u_k \overline{T_{\ell_1 q}^\ell}\\ &+ u_{\overline{q}} T_{\ell p}^\ell - T_{\ell j}^\ell g^{\overline{i}j} u_{\overline{i}} g_{p\overline{q}} - g_{\ell\overline{q}} g^{\overline{k}\ell_1} u_{\overline{k}} T_{\ell_1 p}^\ell\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We can also write

$$Z_{p\overline{q}} = Z_{p\overline{q}}^i u_i + \overline{Z_{q\overline{p}}^i} u_{\overline{i}},$$

where

$$Z_{p\overline{q}}^i = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\delta_p^i\overline{T_{\ell q}^\ell} - \overline{T_{\ell j}^\ell} g^{\overline{j}i} g_{p\overline{q}} - g_{p\overline{\ell}} g^{\overline{k}i}\overline{T_{kq}^\ell}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Note that $Z(u)$ is linear in ∇u. The following useful lemma is simple and we will use it without pointing it out again and again (cf.[20]).

Lemma 2.5. *For any* $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, *at the point where* $\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} f \leq (\geq) 0$, *we have*

$$(\Delta_g f)\omega - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} f \leq (\geq) 0,$$

where $\Delta_g f = g^{\overline{j}i}\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}} f$. *At the point where* $\nabla f = 0$, *we have* $Z(f) = 0$.

To end this section, we introduce some terminology concerning cohomology classes of $(n-1,n-1)$ forms. Define the *Aeppli cohomology group* (see [43])

$$H_A^{n-1,n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}) := \frac{\{\partial\overline{\partial}\text{-closed real } (n-1,n-1) \text{ forms}\}}{\{\partial\gamma + \overline{\partial\gamma} : \ \gamma \in \Lambda^{n-2,n-1}(M)\}}.$$

This space is naturally in duality with the finite dimensional *Bott-Chern cohomology group* with the nondegenerated pairing

$$H_A^{n-1,n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by wedge product and integration over on M (see [1]), where

$$H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R}) = \frac{\{\text{d-closed real } (1,1) \text{ forms}\}}{\{\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi : \ \psi \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\}}.$$

For any $u \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, define

$$\gamma := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\overline{\partial}u \wedge \chi^{n-2},$$

where χ is a real $(1,1)$ form. Then we have

$$\beta_u := \partial\gamma + \overline{\partial\gamma} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u \wedge \chi^{n-2} + \mathrm{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\partial u \wedge \overline{\partial}(\chi^{n-2})\right). \tag{2.20}$$

β_u is $\partial\overline{\partial}$- closed. Indeed, it is the $(n-1,n-1)$ part of the d-exact $(2n-2)$ form $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{d}^c u \wedge \chi^{n-2}\right)$, where

$$\mathrm{d}^c = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$$

with $\mathrm{dd}^c = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}$. Let α and α' be Hermitian metrics on M. Then

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\left(\log\frac{\alpha^n}{\alpha'^n}\right) \wedge \chi^{n-2} + \mathrm{Re}\left[\sqrt{-1}\partial\left(\log\frac{\alpha^n}{\alpha'^n}\right) \wedge \overline{\partial}(\chi^{n-2})\right],$$

is well-defined $\partial\overline{\partial}$- closed since

$$\log\frac{\alpha^n}{\alpha'^n} \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

3. Preliminary estimates

Define a linear operator

$$L(\varphi) := \Theta^{\overline{j}i}\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}\varphi + \tilde{g}^{\overline{j}i}Z(\varphi)_{i\overline{j}} = \Theta^{\overline{j}i}\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}\varphi + \mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(\varphi) \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\Theta^{\overline{j}i} = \frac{1}{n-1}\left((\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}\alpha)\,\alpha^{\overline{j}i} - \tilde{g}^{\overline{j}i}\right) > 0.$$

Obviously, L is a second order elliptic operator. Noting that L is the linearized operator of (1.7), standard parabolic theory implies that there exists a smooth solution u to (1.7) on $[0,T)$, where $[0,\,T)$ is the maximal time interval with $T \in (0,\,\infty]$. We will prove $T = \infty$. First, we give a preliminary estimate as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let u be the solution to* (1.7) *on $M \times [0,\,T)$. Then there exists a uniform constant C, i.e., depending only on the initial data on M, such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[0,\,T)}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)\right| \leq C. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. From (1.7), we get the evolution equation for $\dot{u} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\dot{u} = L(\dot{u}). \tag{3.3}$$

By the maximum principle, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{M\times[0,T)}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)\right| &\leq \sup_{M}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,0)\right| \\ &\leq \left\|\log\frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta u_0)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u_0\right] + Z(u_0)\right)^n}{\alpha^n}\right\|_{L^\infty(M)} + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(M)},\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Next, using Lemma 3.1, we can get the estimate of $\tilde{u}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let u be the solution to (1.7) on $M\times[0,T)$. Then there exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[0,T)}|\tilde{u}(x,t)| \leq C. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. We can rewrite (1.7) as

$$\log\frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta u)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\right] + Z(u)\right)^n}{\alpha^n} = \psi + \dot{u}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then by [43, Theorem 1.6] (see also [35, Remark 12]), there exists a constant C' depending only on the initial data on M and $\sup_M|\psi+\dot{u}|$, such that

$$\sup_{M}|u(x,t)-u(y,t)| \leq C' \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 3.1, if follows that $\sup_M|\psi+\dot{u}|$ is uniformly bounded, and hence C' in(3.6) is a uniform constant. Since we have $\int_M \tilde{u}\alpha^n = 0$ by definition, there exists a point (y,t) such that $\tilde{u}(y,t)=0$ and hence for any $(x,t)\in M\times[0,T)$, we get

$$|\tilde{u}(x,t)| = |\tilde{u}(x,t)-\tilde{u}(y,t)| = |u(x,t)-u(y,t)| \leq C, \tag{3.7}$$

as required. □

4. Second order estimate

We can use the ideas from [36] in the elliptic setting to the prove the second estimate.

Theorem 4.1. *Let u be the solution to (1.7) on $M\times[0,T)$. Then there exists a uniform $C>0$ such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[0,T)}|\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u|_\alpha \leq CK, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$K = 1 + \sup_{M\times[0,T)}|\nabla u|^2_\alpha.$$

We need some preliminaries. For any real $(1,1)$ form ξ, we define

$$P_\alpha(\xi) := \frac{1}{n-1}\Big((\mathrm{tr}_\alpha\xi)\alpha - \xi\Big) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} * (\xi \wedge \alpha^{n-2}).$$

Note that $\mathrm{tr}_\alpha\xi = \mathrm{tr}_\alpha\left(P_\alpha(\xi)\right)$ and

$$\xi = \left(\mathrm{tr}_\alpha\left(P_\alpha(\xi)\right)\right)\alpha - (n-1)P_\alpha(\xi).$$

Denote

$$\chi_{i\bar{j}} = (\mathrm{tr}_\alpha\varpi)\alpha_{i\bar{j}} - (n-1)\varpi_{i\bar{j}},$$

with $P_\alpha(\chi) = \varpi$, and

$$W(u)_{i\bar{j}} = \left(\mathrm{tr}_\alpha Z(u)\right)\alpha_{i\bar{j}} - (n-1)Z(u)_{i\bar{j}} =: W^p_{i\bar{j}}u_p + \overline{W^p_{j\bar{i}}}u_{\bar{p}}.$$

Then we define

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \chi_{i\bar{j}} + u_{i\bar{j}} + W(u)_{i\bar{j}} \tag{4.2}$$

with $P_\alpha(g_{i\bar{j}}) = \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}$.

In orthonormal coordinates for α at any given point, it follows that the component $Z_{i\bar{j}}$ is independent of $u_{\bar{i}}$ and u_j, and that $\nabla_i Z_{i\bar{i}}$ is independent of $u_i, u_{\bar{i}}, u_{i\bar{i}}, \nabla_i u_i$. Indeed, in such local coordinates, from (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{i\bar{i}} =& \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\sum_{p\neq i}\sum_{k\neq i} u_p\overline{T^k_{pk}} + \sum_{p\neq i}\sum_{k\neq i} u_{\bar{p}}T^k_{pk}\right),\\
\nabla_i Z_{i\bar{i}} =& \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\sum_{p\neq i}\sum_{k\neq i}\left(\nabla_i u_p\overline{T^k_{pk}} + u_p\overline{\nabla_i T^k_{pk}}\right) + \sum_{p\neq i}\sum_{k\neq i}\left(u_{i\bar{p}}T^k_{pk} + u_{\bar{p}}\nabla_i T^k_{pk}\right)\right),\\
Z_{i\bar{j}} =& \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(-\sum\left(u_i\overline{T^k_{jk}} + u_k\overline{T_{kj\bar{i}}}\right) - \sum_{k\neq i}\left(u_{\bar{j}}T^k_{ik} + u_{\bar{k}}T_{ki\bar{j}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $i \neq j$ are fixed indices and in the last equality, we use the skew-symmetry of the torsion, as desired.

Furthermore, $\nabla_i\nabla_{\bar{i}}Z_{i\bar{i}}$ is independent of $\nabla_i u_i, \nabla_{\bar{i}}u_{\bar{i}}, \nabla_{\bar{i}}\nabla_i u_i$ and $\nabla_{\bar{i}}u_{i\bar{i}}$. For any index p, $\nabla_i Z_{p\bar{i}}$ is independent of $\nabla_i u_i$. In this following part of this section, we will use such these properties directly and do not prove them again.

Denote $\left(\tilde{B}_i{}^j\right) = \left(\tilde{g}_{i\bar{\ell}}\alpha^{\bar{\ell}j}\right)$ which can be seen as the endomorphism of $T^{1,0}M$. This endomorphism is Hermitian with respect to the Hermitian metric α, i.e., for any tangent vectors $X = X^i\partial_i$ and $Y = Y^j\partial_j$, we have

$$\langle\tilde{B}X,\, Y\rangle_\alpha = \tilde{B}_i{}^k X^i\alpha_{k\bar{\ell}}\overline{Y^\ell} = \tilde{g}_{i\bar{q}}\alpha^{\bar{q}k}X^i\alpha_{k\bar{\ell}}\overline{Y^\ell} = \tilde{g}_{i\bar{\ell}}X^i\overline{Y^\ell} = X^i\alpha_{i\bar{j}}\overline{\tilde{B}_p{}^j Y^p} = \langle X,\, \tilde{B}Y\rangle_\alpha.$$

We define

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{B}) = \log\det\tilde{B} = \log(\mu_1\cdots\mu_n) =: \tilde{f}(\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n),$$

where $\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\left(\tilde{B}_i{}^j\right)$. Then (1.7) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{B}) = \dot{u} + \psi =: h. \tag{4.3}$$

For $\tilde{f}$ and h, we have

(i) $\tilde{f}$ is defined on

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma_n = \Big\{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ x_i > 0, \ i = 1, \cdots, n\Big\}.$$

(ii) $\tilde{f}$ is symmetric, smooth, concave and increasing, i.e., $\tilde{f}_i > 0$ for all i.

(iii) $\sup_{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{f} \leq \inf_{M\times[0,T)} h$.

(iv) For any $\mu \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde{f}(t\mu) = \sup_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{f} = \infty$.

(v) h is bounded on $M \times [0, T)$ thanks to the estimate of $|\dot{u}|$ in Lemma 3.1.

We also define

$$F(A) := \tilde{F}(\tilde{B}) =: f(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n),$$

where $(A_i{}^j) = \Big(g_{i\overline{\ell}}\alpha^{\overline{\ell}j}\Big)$, which is also an endomorphism of $T^{1,0}M$ with respect to the Hermitian metric α, and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ are its eigenvalues. There exists a map

$$P : \ \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mu_k = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq k} \lambda_i,$$

induced by P_α above. Then we have

$$f(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) = \tilde{f} \circ P(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$$

defined on $\Gamma := P^{-1}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Clearly, f satisfies the same conditions as $\tilde{f}$. Then (4.3) can also be rewritten as

$$F(A) = h. \tag{4.4}$$

We make some simple calculation about $\tilde{f}$ and f. Since

$$\tilde{f}_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i}, \tag{4.5}$$

we can get

$$f_i = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{k\neq i} \frac{1}{\mu_k}. \tag{4.6}$$

Suppose that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \in \Gamma$. From the definition of P, (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n, \\ \tilde{f}_1 \geq \tilde{f}_2 \geq \cdots \geq \tilde{f}_n, \\ 0 < f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \cdots \leq f_n, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \tilde{f}_k = n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we also use the fact that $\Big(\tilde{B}_i{}^j\Big)$ is positive definite. For $k \geq 2$, we have

$$0 < \frac{\tilde{f}_1}{n-1} \leq f_k \leq \tilde{f}_1. \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_k \leq (n-1) f_1. \tag{4.9}$$

Proposition 4.2. *For any $x \in M$, choose orthonormal coordinates for α at x, with g defined as in* (4.2) *is diagonal with eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$. Then we have*

$$|\lambda| \geq R,$$

and there also holds two possibilities as follows.

(a) *We have*

$$\sum_k f_k(\lambda)(\chi_{k\overline{k}} - \lambda_k) - |\dot{u}| > \kappa \sum_k f_k(\lambda). \tag{4.10}$$

(b) *Or we have*

$$f_k(\lambda) > \kappa \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\lambda) \tag{4.11}$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

In addition, we have $\sum\limits_{k=1}^n f_k(\lambda) > \kappa$. Here $0 < \kappa < 1$ is a uniform constant.

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means, we get

$$|\lambda|^2 \geq \frac{(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)^2}{n} = \frac{(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n)^2}{n} \geq n(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n)^{\frac{2}{n}} = ne^{2h/n} \geq R^2,$$

where for the last inequality we use the fact that $\tilde{F}(\tilde{B}) = \dot{u} + \psi$ is uniformly bounded by Lemma 3.1.

Since $\chi_{k\overline{k}} = \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \varpi_{i\overline{i}}\right) - (n-1)\varpi_{k\overline{k}}$, (4.6) implies

$$\sum_k f_k(\lambda)\chi_{k\overline{k}} = \sum_k \tilde{f}_k(\lambda)\varpi_{k\overline{k}} = \sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k}\varpi_{k\overline{k}} > \tau \sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k} = \tau \sum_k f_k(\lambda), \tag{4.12}$$

where we use the fact that ϖ has a uniform lower bound. If λ_1 is relatively large, i.e., μ_1 is relatively small such that $\frac{\tau}{2\mu_1} > n + C \geq \sum\limits_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f_k(\lambda) + |\dot{u}|$, where C is the uniform bound of $\dot{u}$ in Lemma 3.1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k f_k(\lambda)\chi_{k\overline{k}} \geq & \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k} + \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k} \\
\geq & \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k} + \frac{\tau}{2\mu_1} \\
\geq & \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_k \frac{1}{\mu_k} + n + C \\
\geq & \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_k f_k(\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f_k(\lambda) + |\dot{u}|,
\end{aligned}$$

as required. Otherwise, there exists a large constant $A > 1$ such that $\mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n \leq A\mu_1$ since $\dot{u} + \psi = \log(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n)$ is bounded and we just need to prove

$$f_n \geq \cdots \geq f_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\mu_i} \geq \kappa \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu_i}.$$

To get this, we just need choose $0 < \kappa < 1$ such that

$$(1-\kappa)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\frac{1}{\mu_i} \geq \frac{(1-\kappa)(n-1)}{A\mu_1} \geq \frac{\kappa}{\mu_1},$$

as required.

In addition, using the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means, we can get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\mu_k} \geq n(\mu_1\cdots\mu_n)^{-1/n} = ne^{-h/n} \geq \kappa,$$

where we use the fact that h is uniformly bounded by Lemma 3.1. □

Now we need some basic formulae for the derivatives of eigenvalues (see for example [34]).

Lemma 4.3 (Spruck [34]). *The derivative of the eigenvalue λ_i at a diagonal matrix $(A_i{}^j)$ with distinct eigenvalue are*

$$\lambda_i^{pq} = \delta_{pi}\delta_{qi}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\lambda_i^{pq,rs} = (1-\delta_{ip})\frac{\delta_{iq}\delta_{ir}\delta_{ps}}{\lambda_i-\lambda_p} + (1-\delta_{ir})\frac{\delta_{is}\delta_{ip}\delta_{rq}}{\lambda_i-\lambda_r}, \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\lambda_i^{pq} = \frac{\partial\lambda_i}{\partial A_p{}^q}, \quad \lambda_i^{pq,rs} = \frac{\partial^2\lambda_i}{\partial A_p{}^q \partial A_r{}^s}.$$

Lemma 4.4 (Gerhardt [16]). *If $F(A) = f(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)$ in terms of a symmetric funtion of the eigenvalues, then at a diagonal matrix $(A_i{}^j)$ with distinct eigenvalues we have*

$$F^{ij} = \delta_{ij} f_i, \tag{4.15}$$

$$F^{ij,rs} = f_{ir}\delta_{ij}\delta_{rs} + \frac{f_i - f_j}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(1-\delta_{ij})\delta_{is}\delta_{jr}, \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial A_i{}^j}, \quad F^{pq,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial A_i{}^j \partial A_r{}^s}.$$

These formulae make sense even if the eigenvalues are not distinct, since if f is symmetric then F is a smooth function on the space of matrices. In particular, we have $f_i \longrightarrow f_j$ as $\lambda_i \longrightarrow \lambda_j$. If f is concave and symmetric, then we have (see [34]) that $\frac{f_i-f_j}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} \leq 0$. In particular, if $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$, then we have $f_i \geq f_j$. Also it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ij,rs}\left(\nabla_k u_{i\overline{j}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{k}} u_{r\overline{s}}\right) =& f_{ij}\left(\nabla_k u_{i\overline{i}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{k}} u_{j\overline{j}}\right) + \sum_{p\neq q}\frac{f_p - f_q}{\lambda_p-\lambda_q}|\nabla_k u_{p\overline{q}}|^2 \\ \leq& f_{ij}\left(\nabla_k u_{i\overline{i}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{k}} u_{j\overline{j}}\right) + \sum_{p>1}\frac{f_1 - f_p}{\lambda_1-\lambda_p}|\nabla_k u_{p\overline{1}}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We use the ideas from [36] in the elliptic setting. Since here we consider the parabolic equation, there are some new terms involved time t which we need to estimate and we need Proposition 4.2 which is slightly different from [36, Proposition 2.3]. Also note that here

we use covariant derivatives instead of partial derivatives used in [36][1]. Hence we can be brief and point out the main differences in the following part. It is sufficient to prove

$$\lambda_1 \leq CK.$$

Indeed, since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i > 0$, if $\lambda_1 \leq CK$ then so is $|\lambda_k|$, $k = 2, \cdots, n$, which implies (4.1). To obtain this, we consider the function

$$H = \log \lambda_1 + \phi\left(|\nabla u|_\alpha^2\right) + \varphi(u),$$

where

$$\phi(s) = -\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 - \frac{s}{2K}\right), \quad \varphi(s) = D_1 e^{-D_2 s},$$

with sufficiently large uniform constants $D_1, D_2 > 0$ to be determined later. Note that

$$\phi\left(|\nabla u|_\alpha^2\right) \in [0,\, 2\log 2]$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4K} < \phi' < \frac{1}{2K}, \quad \phi'' = 2(\phi')^2.$$

We work at a point (x_0, t_0) where H achieves its maximum. Without loss of generality, we assume $0 < t_0 < T$. Choose orthonormal complex coordinates such that x_0 is the origin, α is a unit matrix and g is diagonal with $\lambda_1 = g_{1\bar{1}}$. To make sure that H is smooth at this point, we fix a diagonal matrix B with ${B_1}^1 = 0$, $0 < {B_2}^2 < \cdots < {B_n}^n$, and define $\tilde{A} = A - B$ with eigenvalues denoted by $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\lambda}_n$. Clearly, at this point (x_0, t_0), we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - {B_i}^i, \quad i = 2, \cdots, n$$

and $\tilde{\lambda}_1 > \cdots > \tilde{\lambda}_n$. Noting that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i > 0$, we can assume that B is small enough such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\lambda}_i > -1$$

and

$$\sum_{p>1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_p} \leq C \tag{4.18}$$

where C is a fixed constant depending only on n. We give some remarks about B. It can also be considered as an endomorphism of $T^{1,0}M$, and is represented by a constant diagonal matrix $({B_i}^j)$ in these local coordinates. Hence, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\bar{j}} {B_r}^s =& \partial_{\bar{j}} {B_r}^s = 0, \quad \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} {B_r}^s = 0,\\
\nabla_i {B_r}^s =& \partial_i {B_r}^s - \Gamma_{ir}^p {B_p}^s + \Gamma_{ip}^s {B_r}^p = \Gamma_{ir}^s \left({B_r}^r - {B_s}^s\right),\\
\nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i {B_r}^s =& \partial_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i {B_r}^s = R_{i\bar{j}r}{}^s \left({B_s}^s - {B_r}^r\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the quantity

$$\tilde{H} = \log \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \phi\left(|\nabla u|_\alpha^2\right) + \varphi(u),$$

[1]The author thanks Professor Valentino Tosatti suggesting him this point.

which is smooth in this chart and attains its maximum at the point (x_0, t_0). The following calculation is at this point. We may assume $\lambda_1 \gg K > 1$. We use subscripts k and $\overline{\ell}$ to denote the partial derivatives $\partial/\partial z^k$ and $\partial/\partial \overline{z}^\ell$. As in [36], we have

$$\tilde{H}_q = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}}{\lambda_1} + \phi' V_q + \varphi' u_q = 0, \quad \text{for } V_q := u_r u_{\overline{r}q} + u_{\overline{r}} \nabla_q u_r. \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}_{q\overline{q}} =& \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q\overline{q}}}{\lambda_1} - \frac{|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2}{\lambda_1^2} + \phi' \Big(u_r \nabla_{\overline{q}} u_{\overline{r}q} + u_{\overline{r}} \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_q u_r + |\nabla_q u_r|^2 + |u_{\overline{r}q}|^2 \Big) \\ &+ \phi'' |V_q|^2 + \varphi'' |u_q|^2 + \varphi' u_{q\overline{q}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

From (4.13), we get

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{1,p} = \tilde{\lambda}_1^{rs} \left(\alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_p g_{r\overline{j}} - \nabla_p B_r{}^s \right) = \nabla_p g_{1\overline{1}} - \nabla_p B_1{}^1 = \nabla_p g_{1\overline{1}}, \tag{4.21}$$

where we use the fact that $\nabla_p B_1{}^1 = 0$. Then using this formula and (4.13) and (4.14), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}_{1,p\overline{q}} =& \tilde{\lambda}_1^{rs,ab} \left(\alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_p g_{r\overline{j}} - \nabla_p B_r{}^s \right) \left(\alpha^{\overline{\ell}b} \nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{a\overline{\ell}} - \nabla_{\overline{q}} B_a{}^b \right) + \tilde{\lambda}_1^{rs} \left(\alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p g_{r\overline{j}} - \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p B_r{}^s \right) \\ =& \tilde{\lambda}_1^{rs,ab} \left(\nabla_p g_{r\overline{s}} - \nabla_p B_r{}^s \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{a\overline{b}} \right) + \tilde{\lambda}_1^{rs} \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p g_{r\overline{s}} - \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p B_r{}^s \right) \\ =& \sum_{r \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r} \left(\nabla_p g_{r\overline{1}} - \nabla_p B_r{}^1 \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{1\overline{r}} \right) + \sum_{a \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_a} \left(\nabla_p g_{1\overline{a}} - \nabla_p B_1{}^a \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{a\overline{1}} \right) \\ &+ \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p g_{1\overline{1}},\end{aligned}$$

where we also use the fact that $\nabla_{\overline{q}} B_a{}^b = \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_p B_1{}^1 = 0$. In particular, we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q\overline{q}} = \nabla_{\overline{q}} \nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}} + \sum_{r>1} \frac{|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r} - \sum_{r>1} \frac{\left(\nabla_q B_r{}^1 \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{1\overline{r}} \right) + \left(\nabla_q B_1{}^r \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{r\overline{1}} \right)}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r}. \tag{4.22}$$

From (4.4) and (4.15), we have

$$\dot{u}_k = F^{rs} \nabla_k \left(g_{r\overline{j}} \alpha^{\overline{j}s} \right) - \psi_k = F^{rs} \alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_k g_{r\overline{j}} - \psi_k = F^{rr} \nabla_k g_{r\overline{r}} - \psi_k. \tag{4.23}$$

Also from (4.15), we know that $F^{kk} = f_k$, $\tilde{F}^{kk} = \tilde{f}_k$. For later use, we write $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F^{kk} \geq \kappa$. The formula (4.23) together with (4.15) and (4.16) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{u}_{k\overline{\ell}} =& F^{rs,ab} \nabla_k \left(g_{r\overline{j}} \alpha^{\overline{j}s} \right) \nabla_{\overline{\ell}} \left(g_{a\overline{c}} \alpha^{\overline{c}b} \right) + F^{rs} \nabla_{\overline{\ell}} \nabla_k \left(g_{r\overline{j}} \alpha^{\overline{j}s} \right) - \psi_{k\overline{\ell}} \\ =& F^{rs,ab} \left(\alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_k g_{r\overline{j}} \right) \left(\alpha^{\overline{c}b} \nabla_{\overline{\ell}} g_{a\overline{c}} \right) + F^{rs} \alpha^{\overline{j}s} \nabla_{\overline{\ell}} \nabla_k g_{r\overline{j}} - \psi_{k\overline{\ell}} \\ =& F^{rs,ab} \left(\nabla_k g_{r\overline{s}} \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{\ell}} g_{a\overline{b}} \right) + F^{rr} \nabla_{\overline{\ell}} \nabla_k g_{r\overline{r}} - \psi_{k\overline{\ell}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Combining (4.13), (4.23) and (4.24) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{\lambda}_1 =& \tilde{\lambda}_1^{pq} \partial_t \left(g_{p\overline{j}} \alpha^{\overline{j}q} \right) \\ =& \tilde{\lambda}_1^{pq} \left(\dot{u}_{p\overline{j}} + W^r_{p\overline{j}} \dot{u}_r + \overline{W^r_{j\overline{p}}} \dot{u}_{\overline{r}} \right) \alpha^{\overline{j}q} \\ =& W^r_{1\overline{1}} \dot{u}_r + \overline{W^r_{1\overline{1}}} \dot{u}_{\overline{r}} + \dot{u}_{1\overline{1}} \\ =& W^r_{1\overline{1}} \left(F^{qq} \nabla_r g_{q\overline{q}} - \psi_r \right) + \overline{W^r_{1\overline{1}}} \left(F^{qq} \nabla_{\overline{r}} g_{q\overline{q}} - \psi_{\overline{r}} \right) \\ &+ F^{rs,ab} \left(\nabla_1 g_{r\overline{s}} \right) \left(\nabla_{\overline{1}} g_{a\overline{b}} \right) + F^{qq} \nabla_{\overline{1}} \nabla_1 g_{q\overline{q}} - \psi_{1\overline{1}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Thanks to (4.23) and (4.25), it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.26)\qquad \partial_t\tilde{H} =&\frac{\partial_t\tilde{\lambda}_1}{\lambda_1}+\phi'(u_r\dot{u}_{\bar{r}}+\dot{u}_ru_{\bar{r}})+\varphi'\dot{u},\\
=&\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left[W^r_{1\bar{1}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_rg_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_r\right)+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_{\bar{r}}g_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_{\bar{r}}\right)+F^{qq}\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1g_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_{1\bar{1}}\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}F^{rs,ab}\left(\nabla_1g_{r\bar{s}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\bar{1}}g_{a\bar{b}}\right)\\
&+\phi'u_{\bar{r}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_rg_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_r\right)+\phi'u_r\left(F^{qq}\nabla_{\bar{r}}g_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_{\bar{r}}\right)+\varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (4.20), (4.22) and (4.26), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.27)\qquad 0\geq&F^{qq}\tilde{H}_{q\bar{q}}-\partial_t\tilde{H}\\
=&-\frac{F^{qq}|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2}{\lambda_1^2}+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}F^{qq}\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qg_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1g_{q\bar{q}}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}F^{rs,ab}\left(\nabla_1g_{r\bar{s}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\bar{1}}g_{a\bar{b}}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(W^r_{1\bar{1}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_rg_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_r\right)+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_{\bar{r}}g_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_{\bar{r}}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\psi_{1\bar{1}}\\
&+\frac{F^{qq}}{\lambda_1}\left(\sum_{r>1}\frac{|\nabla_qg_{r\bar{1}}|^2+|\nabla_qg_{1\bar{r}}|^2}{\lambda_1-\tilde{\lambda}_r}-\sum_{r>1}\frac{\left(\nabla_pB_r{}^1\right)\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}g_{1\bar{r}}\right)+\left(\nabla_pB_1{}^r\right)\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}g_{r\bar{1}}\right)}{\lambda_1-\tilde{\lambda}_r}\right)\\
&+\phi'F^{qq}\Big(u_r\nabla_{\bar{q}}u_{\bar{r}q}+u_{\bar{r}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qu_r+|\nabla_qu_r|^2+|u_{\bar{r}q}|^2\Big)\\
&+\phi''F^{qq}|V_q|^2+\varphi''F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varphi'F^{qq}u_{q\bar{q}}\\
&-\phi'u_{\bar{r}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_rg_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_r\right)-\phi'u_r\left(F^{qq}\nabla_{\bar{r}}g_{q\bar{q}}-\psi_{\bar{r}}\right)-\varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.15) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.28)\qquad &\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qg_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1g_{q\bar{q}}\\
=&\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_q\chi_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1\chi_{q\bar{q}}+\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qu_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1u_{q\bar{q}}+\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW(u)_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1W(u)_{q\bar{q}}\\
=&\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_q\chi_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1\chi_{q\bar{q}}-2\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_1u_{q\bar{r}}\right)+R_{q\bar{q}1}{}^pu_{p\bar{1}}-R_{1\bar{1}q}{}^pu_{p\bar{q}}-T^p_{1q}\overline{T^r_{q1}}u_{p\bar{r}}\\
&+\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW(u)_{1\bar{1}}-\nabla_{\bar{1}}\nabla_1W(u)_{q\bar{q}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $W_{1\bar{1}}=W^r_{1\bar{1}}u_r+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}u_{\bar{r}}$, using Ricci identity (2.15), we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW_{1\bar{1}}=&u_r\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+u_{r\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}W^r_{1\bar{1}}\right)\left(\nabla_qu_r\right)+W^r_{1\bar{1}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qu_r\\
&+u_{\bar{r}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}u_{\bar{r}}\right)\left(\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\right)+u_{\bar{r}q}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}u_{\bar{r}q}\\
=&u_r\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+u_{r\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}W^r_{1\bar{1}}\right)\left(\nabla_qu_r\right)\\
&+W^r_{1\bar{1}}\nabla_ru_{q\bar{q}}-W^r_{1\bar{1}}T^p_{qr}u_{p\bar{q}}+W^r_{1\bar{1}}R_{q\bar{q}r}{}^pu_p\\
&+u_{\bar{r}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}u_{\bar{r}}\right)\left(\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\right)+u_{\bar{r}q}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\\
&+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\nabla_{\bar{r}}u_{q\bar{q}}-\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\overline{T^p_{qr}}u_{q\bar{p}}\\
=&u_r\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+u_{r\bar{q}}\nabla_qW^r_{1\bar{1}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}W^r_{1\bar{1}}\right)\left(\nabla_qu_r\right)\\
&+W^r_{1\bar{1}}\left(\nabla_rg_{q\bar{q}}-\nabla_r\chi_{q\bar{q}}-\nabla_rW(u)_{q\bar{q}}\right)-W^r_{1\bar{1}}T^p_{qr}u_{p\bar{q}}+W^r_{1\bar{1}}R_{q\bar{q}r}{}^pu_p\\
&+u_{\bar{r}}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}+\left(\nabla_{\bar{q}}u_{\bar{r}}\right)\left(\nabla_q\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\right)+u_{\bar{r}q}\nabla_{\bar{q}}\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\\
&+\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\left(\nabla_{\bar{r}}g_{q\bar{q}}-\nabla_{\bar{r}}\chi_{q\bar{q}}-\nabla_{\bar{r}}W(u)_{q\bar{q}}\right)-\overline{W^r_{1\bar{1}}}\overline{T^p_{qr}}u_{q\bar{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$F^{qq}\nabla_{\overline{q}}\nabla_q W_{1\overline{1}} - F^{qq}W^r_{1\overline{1}}\nabla_r g_{q\overline{q}} - F^{qq}\overline{W^r_{1\overline{1}}}\nabla_{\overline{r}} g_{q\overline{q}} \geq -C\left(\sum_r F^{qq}|\nabla_q u_r| + \lambda_1\mathcal{F}\right), \tag{4.29}$$

where we use the fact that $\lambda_1 \gg K > 1$ and hence that $|u_{p\overline{q}}|$ can be controlled by λ_1.

Since

$$W(u)_{i\overline{j}} = (\mathrm{tr}_\alpha Z(u))\,\alpha_{i\overline{j}} - (n-1)Z(u)_{i\overline{j}},$$

(4.6) implies (as in [36])

$$F^{qq}\nabla_{\overline{1}}\nabla_1 W(u)_{q\overline{q}} \leq C\left(\sum_r F^{qq}|\nabla_q u_r| + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}| + \lambda_1\mathcal{F}\right). \tag{4.30}$$

From Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r>1}\frac{|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r} - \sum_{r>1}\frac{\left(\nabla_p B_r{}^1\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{1\overline{r}}\right) + \left(\nabla_p B_1{}^r\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{r\overline{1}}\right)}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r}\\
\geq&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r>1}\frac{|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r} - C,
\end{aligned}$$

where we also use (4.18). Since

$$(n-1)\lambda_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_r \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\lambda}_i > -1,$$

we get $(\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r)^{-1} \geq (n\lambda_1 + 1)^{-1}$ for $r > 1$. Then Young's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r>1}\frac{|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r} - \sum_{r>1}\frac{\left(\nabla_p B_r{}^1\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{1\overline{r}}\right) + \left(\nabla_p B_1{}^r\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}} g_{r\overline{1}}\right)}{\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_r}\\
\geq&\frac{1}{2(n\lambda_1+1)}\sum_{r>1}\left(|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2\right) - C\\
\geq&\frac{1}{4n\lambda_1}\sum_{r>1}\left(|\nabla_q g_{r\overline{1}}|^2 + |\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}|^2\right) - C,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

where for the second inequality we use the fact that $\lambda_1 > 1$.

Using Ricci identity, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_1 u_{q\overline{r}} =&\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_q u_{1\overline{r}} - \overline{T^r_{q1}}T^p_{1q}u_{p\overline{r}}\\
=&\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}} - \overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_q \chi_{1\overline{r}} - \overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_q W(u)_{1\overline{r}} - \overline{T^r_{q1}}T^p_{1q}u_{p\overline{r}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$-\frac{2}{\lambda_1}\mathrm{Re}\left(F^{qq}\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_1 u_{q\overline{r}}\right) \geq -\frac{2F^{qq}}{\lambda_1}\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{T^r_{q1}}\nabla_q g_{1\overline{r}}\right) - C\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_r F^{qq}|\nabla_q u_r| + \mathcal{F}\right), \tag{4.32}$$

where we use the fact that $|u_{i\overline{j}}|$ can be controlled by λ_1. Then we have

$$\frac{(4.31)}{\lambda_1} + (4.32) \geq -\frac{2F^{qq}}{\lambda_1}\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{T^1_{q1}}\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}\right) - C\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_r F^{qq}|\nabla_q u_r| + \mathcal{F}\right), \tag{4.33}$$

where we use that $\mathcal{F} \geq \kappa$ and hence absorb the constant C into $C\mathcal{F}$.

Substituting (4.28), (4.30), (4.29) and (4.33) into (4.27), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -\frac{F^{qq}|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}F^{rs,ab}\left(\nabla_1 g_{r\overline{s}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{1}} g_{a\overline{b}}\right) \\
& - C\mathcal{F} - \frac{C}{\lambda_1}\left(\sum_r F^{qq}|\nabla_q u_r| + F^{qq}|\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}|\right) \\
& + \phi' F^{qq}\Big(u_r \nabla_{\overline{q}} u_{\overline{r}q} + u_{\overline{r}}\nabla_{\overline{q}}\nabla_q u_r + |\nabla_q u_r|^2 + |u_{\overline{r}q}|^2\Big) \\
& + \phi'' F^{qq}|V_q|^2 + \varphi'' F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}} \\
& - \phi' u_{\bar{r}}\left(F^{qq}\nabla_r g_{q\overline{q}} - \psi_r\right) - \phi' u_r\left(F^{qq}\nabla_{\overline{r}} g_{q\overline{q}} - \psi_{\overline{r}}\right) - \varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}
$$

Using Ricci identity, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi' F^{qq}u_r\nabla_{\overline{q}}u_{\overline{r}q} =& \phi' F^{qq}u_r\left(\nabla_{\overline{r}}u_{q\overline{q}} - \overline{T^p_{qr}}u_{\overline{p}q}\right) \\
=& \phi' F^{qq}u_r\left(\nabla_{\overline{r}}g_{q\overline{q}} - \nabla_{\overline{r}}\chi_{q\overline{q}} - \nabla_{\overline{r}}W(u)_{q\overline{q}} - \overline{T^p_{qr}}u_{q\overline{p}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\phi' F^{qq}u_r\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}}u_{\overline{r}q} - \nabla_{\overline{r}}g_{q\overline{q}}\right) \geq -\frac{C}{K^{1/2}}\left(\sum_r |u_{r\overline{q}}|F^{qq} + \sum_r |\nabla_q u_r|F^{qq} + \mathcal{F}\right) \tag{4.35}
$$

where we use the fact that $\phi' \leq 1/(2K)$. Here we also use that $|u_r|$ can be controlled by λ_1 and hence can also be controlled by $|u_{p\overline{q}}|$.

Similarly, we can also deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi' F^{qq}u_{\overline{r}}\nabla_{\overline{q}}\nabla_q u_r =& \phi' F^{qq}u_{\overline{r}}\nabla_{\overline{q}}\left(\nabla_r u_q - T^p_{qr}u_p\right) \\
=& \phi' F^{qq}u_{\overline{r}}\left(\nabla_r u_{q\overline{q}} - R_{r\overline{q}q}{}^p u_p - u_p\nabla_{\overline{q}}T^p_{qr} - T^p_{qr}u_{p\overline{q}}\right) \\
=& \phi' F^{qq}u_{\overline{r}}\left(\nabla_r g_{q\overline{q}} - \nabla_r\chi_{q\overline{q}} - \nabla_r W(u)_{q\overline{q}} - R_{r\overline{q}q}{}^p u_p - u_p\nabla_{\overline{q}}T^p_{qr} - T^p_{qr}u_{p\overline{q}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\phi' F^{qq}u_{\overline{r}}\left(\nabla_{\overline{q}}\nabla_q u_r - \nabla_r g_{q\overline{q}}\right) \geq -\frac{C}{K^{1/2}}\left(\sum_r |u_{r\overline{q}}|F^{qq} + \sum_r |\nabla_q u_r|F^{qq} + \mathcal{F}\right). \tag{4.36}
$$

From (4.34), (4.35), (4.36) and the fact that $\phi' \geq 1/(4K)$, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -\frac{F^{qq}|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}F^{rs,ab}\left(\nabla_1 g_{r\overline{s}}\right)\left(\nabla_{\overline{1}} g_{a\overline{b}}\right) \\
& - C\left(\mathcal{F} + \lambda_1^{-1}F^{qq}|\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}|\right) + \sum_r \frac{F^{qq}}{6K}\Big(|\nabla_q u_r|^2 + |u_{\overline{r}q}|^2\Big) \\
& + \phi'' F^{qq}|V_q|^2 + \varphi'' F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}} - \varphi'\dot{u},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}
$$

where we use the fact that $K > 1$ and hence $K^{-1/2} < 1$ and absorb the constant into $C\mathcal{F}$.

Next, we can use the ideas of [36] in the elliptic setting to deal with two cases separately (cf. [23, 35]).

Case 1. Suppose that $\delta\lambda_1 \geq -\lambda_n$, where the constant δ will be determined later. First we define the set

$$
I := \Big\{i : \ F^{ii} > \delta^{-1}F^{11}\Big\}.
$$

First we remark that for $i \in I$, we have $F^{kk} > F^{11}$ and hence it follows that $i > 1$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$. Using the same discussion as in [36], from (4.37), we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -(1-2\delta)\sum_{q\in I}\frac{F^{qq}\left(|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2-|\nabla_1 g_{q\overline{1}}|^2\right)}{\lambda_1^2} \\
& - C\left(\mathcal{F}+\lambda_1^{-1}F^{kk}|\nabla_k g_{1\overline{1}}|\right)+\frac{F^{qq}}{6K}\sum_r\left(|\nabla_q u_r|^2+|u_{\overline{r}q}|^2\right) \\
& - 2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}F^{11}K+\frac{1}{2}\varphi''F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}}-\varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}
$$

Here we choose the positive constant δ small enough so that

$$
4\delta\varphi'^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi'', \tag{4.39}
$$

which can be easy obtained from the definition of φ.

Then we need the first claim whose proof is the same as the one in [36].

Claim. If λ_1/K is sufficiently large compared to φ' (i.e., the choices of D_1 and D_2 which will be determined later), then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_ε with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q\in I}\frac{F^{qq}|\nabla_1 g_{q\overline{1}}|^2}{\lambda_1^2} \geq & \frac{F^{qq}|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|^2}{\lambda_1^2}-\sum_r\frac{F^{qq}}{12K}\left(|u_{\overline{r}q}|^2+|\nabla_q u_r|^2\right) \\
& + C_\varepsilon\varphi' F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varepsilon C\varphi'\mathcal{F}-C\mathcal{F}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}
$$

Substituting (4.40) into (4.38) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \sum_r\frac{F^{qq}}{12K}\left(|\nabla_q u_r|^2+|u_{\overline{r}q}|^2\right)+C_\varepsilon\varphi' F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varepsilon C\varphi'\mathcal{F} \\
& - C\left(\mathcal{F}+\lambda_1^{-1}F^{qq}|\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}|\right)-2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}F^{11}K \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}}-\varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}
$$

Using (4.19) again implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\tilde{\lambda}_{1,q}|}{\lambda_1} = & \left|\phi'(u_r u_{\overline{r}q}+u_{\overline{r}}\nabla_q u_r)+\varphi' u_q\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2K^{1/2}}U+\frac{1}{2K^{1/2}}\sum_r|u_{\overline{r}q}|-C_\varepsilon\varphi'|u_q|^2-\varepsilon\varphi',
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}
$$

where we use Young's inequality and the facts that $\phi' \leq (2K)^{-1}$ and that $\varphi' < 0$. This inequality and (4.21) imply

$$
F^{qq}\lambda_1^{-1}|\nabla_q g_{1\overline{1}}| \leq \frac{1}{2K^{1/2}}\sum_r\left(|u_{\overline{r}q}|+|\nabla_q u_r|\right)-C_\varepsilon\varphi' F^{qq}|u_q|^2-\varepsilon\varphi'\mathcal{F}.
$$

Substituting this into (4.41) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \sum_r\frac{F^{qq}}{20K}\left(|\nabla_q u_r|^2+|u_{\overline{r}q}|^2\right)+C_\varepsilon\varphi' F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varepsilon C\varphi'\mathcal{F} \\
& - C\mathcal{F}-2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}F^{11}K+\frac{1}{2}\varphi''F^{qq}|u_q|^2+\varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}}-\varphi'\dot{u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}
$$

Since $W(u)_{i\overline{j}} = (\mathrm{tr}_\alpha Z(u))\,\alpha_{i\overline{j}} - (n-1)Z(u)_{i\overline{j}}$, (2.19) and (4.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_q F^{qq}W(u)_{q\overline{q}} =& \sum_q \tilde{F}^{qq}Z(u)_{q\overline{q}}\\ =& \sum_{q=1}^n \tilde{F}^{qq}\left(\sum_{r\neq q} Z^r_{q\overline{q}}u_r\right) + \sum_{q=1}^n \tilde{F}^{qq}\left(\sum_{r\neq q}\overline{Z^r_{q\overline{q}}}u_{\overline{r}}\right)\\ =& \sum_{r=1}^n\left(\sum_{q\neq r}\tilde{F}^{qq}Z^r_{q\overline{q}}\right)u_r + \sum_{r=1}^n\left(\sum_{q\neq r}\tilde{F}^{qq}\overline{Z^r_{q\overline{q}}}\right)u_{\overline{r}}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.8) and (4.9) implies

$$\left|\sum_q F^{qq}W(u)_{q\overline{q}}\right| \leq C\sum_q F^{qq}|u_q| \leq C_\varepsilon F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varepsilon\mathcal{F},$$

where in the last step we use Young's inequality. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi' F^{qq}u_{q\overline{q}} =& \varphi' F^{qq}\left(g_{q\overline{q}} - \chi_{q\overline{q}} - W(u)_{q\overline{q}}\right)\\ \geq& \varphi' F^{qq}\left(g_{q\overline{q}} - \chi_{q\overline{q}}\right) + C_\varepsilon\varphi' F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varepsilon\varphi'\mathcal{F},\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

where we use the fact that $\varphi' < 0$. Substituting (4.44) into (4.43) gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq& F^{11}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^2}{40K} - 2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}K\right) + \left(C_\varepsilon\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''\right)F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varepsilon C\varphi'\mathcal{F}\\ & - C\mathcal{F} - \varphi' F^{qq}\left(\chi_{q\overline{q}} - g_{q\overline{q}}\right) - \varphi'\dot{u},\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

where we use the fact that $|u_{1\overline{1}}| \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^2 - CK$.

Now we need to use Proposition 4.2.

(a) Suppose that we have $F^{qq}\left(\chi_{q\overline{q}} - g_{q\overline{q}}\right) - |\dot{u}| > \kappa\mathcal{F}$. Then (4.45) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq& F^{11}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^2}{40K} - 2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}K\right) + \left(C_\varepsilon\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''\right)F^{qq}|u_q|^2 + \varepsilon C\varphi'\mathcal{F}\\ & - C\mathcal{F} - \varphi'\kappa\mathcal{F}.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\varepsilon C < \frac{\kappa}{2}$. Next we choose D_2 in the definition $\varphi(t) = D_1 e^{-D_2 t}$ to be large enough with

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi'' > C_\varepsilon|\varphi'|.$$

Then we arrive at

$$0 \geq F^{11}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^2}{40K} - 2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}K\right) - C\mathcal{F} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi'\kappa\mathcal{F}.$$

Now we choose D_1 large enough such that $-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\varphi' > C$, then we can get

$$0 \geq \frac{\lambda_1^2}{40K} - 2\varphi'^2\delta^{-1}K,$$

which implies the bound of λ_1/K, as required.

(b) Suppose that we have $F^{11} > \kappa\mathcal{F}$. With the constants D_1 and D_2 determined above, $|\dot{u}|$ and φ' is uniformly bounded and hence can be absorbed in $C\mathcal{F}$. Then using the same arguments in [36], we can get the uniform upper bound of λ_1/K and omit the details here.

Case 2. Suppose that $\delta\lambda_1 \leq -\lambda_n$, and all the constants D_1, D_2 and δ are fixed as in the previous case. Since in this case we can absorb the uniformly bounded term $-\varphi'\dot{u}$ into $C\mathcal{F}$, using the same discussion as in [36], we can get the uniform upper bound of λ_1/K. Here we omit the details.

□

5. First order estimate

Given the form of the second estimate, we need the first order estimate for u.

Theorem 5.1. *Let u be the solution to (1.7) on $M \times [0, T)$. Then there exists a uniform $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[0,T)} |\nabla u|^2_\alpha \leq C. \tag{5.1}$$

First we recall some notations from [42]. Let β be the Euclidean Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and Δ be the Laplace operator with respect to β. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain. Suppose that

$$u \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

is an upper semicontinuous function which is in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. If

$$P(u) := \frac{1}{n-1}\left((\Delta u)\beta - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u\right) \geq 0$$

as a real $(1,1)$ current, then we will say that u is $(n-1)$-Psh.

Definition 5.2. *Let u be a continuous $(n-1)$-Psh function. Then we say u is* mximal *if for any relatively compact set $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and any continuous $(n-1)$-Psh function v on a domain Ω'' with $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega'' \subset\subset \Omega$ and $v \leq u$ on $\partial\Omega'$, then we must have $v \leq u$ on Ω'.*

We need the following Liouville type theorem from [42].

Theorem 5.3 (Tosatti and Weinkove [42]; 2013)**.** *Let $u : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $(n-1)$-Psh function which is Lipschitz continuous, maximal, and satisfies*

$$\sup_{\mathbb{C}^n}(|u| + |\nabla u|) < \infty.$$

Then u is a constant.

Using the idea of Dinew and Kołodziej [8] and the Liouville type Theorem 5.3, the argument is identical to [20] which can be obtained by modifying the argument of [42] in the elliptic setting. Hence, we can be brief and just point out the main differences.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Suppose that (5.1) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence $(x_j, t_j) \in M \times [0, T)$ with $t_j \longrightarrow T$ such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} |\nabla u(x_j, t_j)|_\alpha = +\infty.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$C_j := |\nabla u(x_j, t_j)|_\alpha = \sup_{(x,t)\in M\times[0,t_j]} |\nabla u(x, t)|_\alpha \longrightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty$$

and $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_j = x$. Fix holomorphic coordinates $z = (z^1, \cdots, z^n)$ centered at x and with $z(x) = 0$ and $\alpha(x) = \beta$ identifying with $B_2(0)$. Also assume that j large enough so that $x_j \in B_1(0)$. Define

$$u_j : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{given by} \quad u_j(y) := u(y, t_j),$$

$$\Phi_j : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{given by} \quad \Phi_j(z) := C_j^{-1} z + x_j =: w,$$
$$\hat{u}_j : \mathbb{C}^n \supset B_{C_j}(0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{given by} \quad \hat{u}_j(z) := u_j \circ \Phi_j(z) = u_j\left(C_j^{-1} z + x_j\right).$$

Note that for convenience, we confuse $z(x)$ and z by the local coordinates. The function $\hat{u}_j$ satisfies

$$\sup_{B_{C_j}(0)} |\hat{u}_j| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{B_{C_j}(0)} |\nabla \hat{u}_j|_\beta \leq C C_j^{-1} |\nabla u_j|_\alpha (x_j + C_j^{-1} z) \leq C,$$

where we use the fact that the Euclidean metric β is equivalent to the Hermitian metric α in $B_2(0)$. In particular, we have

$$\sup_{B_{C_j}(0)} |\nabla \hat{u}_j|_\beta(0) \geq C^{-1} C_j^{-1} |\nabla u_j|_\alpha(x_j) = C^{-1} > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Thanks to Theorem 4.1, we know that

$$|\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\hat{u}_j|_\beta \leq C C_j^{-2} |\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} u_j|_\alpha \leq C'.$$

Then the elliptic estimate for Δ and Sobolev embedding gives that for each compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, each $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and $p > 1$, there exists a constant C with

$$\|\hat{u}_j\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(K)} + \|\hat{u}_j\|_{W^{2,p}(K)} \leq C.$$

Therefore, there exists a subsequence of $\hat{u}_j$ converges strongly in $C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and weakly in $W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ to a function $u \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $\sup_{\mathbb{C}^n}(|u| + |\nabla u|_\beta) \leq C$ and (5.2) implies that $|\nabla u|_\beta \neq 0$. In particular, u is not a constant.

Note that (see [42])

$$\beta_j := C_j^2 \Phi_j^* \alpha = \sqrt{-1}\alpha_{p\overline{q}}(x_j + C_j^{-1} z)\mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \longrightarrow \alpha(x) = \beta, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\Phi_j^* \alpha = C_j^{-2}\sqrt{-1}\alpha_{p\overline{q}}(x_j + C_j^{-1} z)\mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.4}$$

$$\Phi_j^* \varpi = C_j^{-2}\sqrt{-1}\varpi_{p\overline{q}}(x_j + C_j^{-1} z, t)\mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5.5}$$

smoothly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Also it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j^* Z(u_j) =& \sqrt{-1}\left(Z^r_{p\overline{q}} u_{j,r} + \overline{Z^r_{q\overline{p}}} u_{j,\overline{r}}\right)(x_j + C_j^{-1} z) C_j^{-2} \mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \\ =& \sqrt{-1}\left(Z^r_{p\overline{q}}(x_j + C_j^{-1} z)\hat{u}_{j,r}(z) + \overline{Z^r_{q\overline{p}}}(x_j + C_j^{-1} z)\hat{u}_{j,\overline{r}}(z)\right) C_j^{-1} \mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then we can deduce

$$\Phi_j^*\left((\Delta_\alpha u_j)\alpha\right) = \sqrt{-1}(\Delta_{\beta_j}\hat{u}_j)\beta_j \longrightarrow (\Delta u)\beta \quad \text{(see [36])} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j^* \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} u_j =& \sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial w^k \partial \overline{w}^\ell}(x_j + C^{-1} z) C_j^{-2} \mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \\ =& \sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_j}{\partial z^p \partial \overline{z}^q}(z)\mathrm{d}z^p \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^q \\ =& \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\hat{u}_j \longrightarrow \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} u \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

weakly in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of the coefficients. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j^* \tilde{\omega}_j :=& \Phi_j^* \tilde{\omega}(t_j) = \Phi_j^*\left(\varpi(t_j) + \frac{1}{n-1}\left((\Delta_\alpha u_j)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} u_j\right) + Z(u_j)\right) \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{n-1}\left((\Delta u)\beta - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} u\right) = P(u) \end{aligned}$$

weakly as currents. Since $\Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j > 0$, it follows that $P(u) \geq 0$ as currents. From Lemma 3.1, it follows that functions $\dot{u}_j \circ \Phi_j$ are uniformly bounded. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^*\tilde{\omega}_j^n =& e^{\dot{u}_j\circ\Phi_j}\Phi_j^*\Omega \\ =& \frac{(\sqrt{-1})^n}{C_j^{2n}} e^{\dot{u}_j\circ\Phi_j+\psi\circ\Phi_j}(\det\alpha)(x_j + C_j^{-1}z)\mathrm{d}z^1\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}z^n\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^n \longrightarrow 0\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}^n$. From (5.3) and (5.7), we have, for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\sup_K |\Delta_{\beta_j}\hat{u}_j - \Delta\hat{u}_j| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty.$$

From (5.3), (5.4), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_K |P(\hat{u}_j) - \Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j|_\beta \\ =& \sup_K \left| \frac{1}{n-1}\left((\Delta_\beta\hat{u}_j)\beta - (\Delta_{\beta_j}\hat{u}_j)\beta_j\right) - \Phi_j^*\varpi - \Phi_j^*Z(u_j)\right|_\beta \\ \leq& \sup_K \left(\frac{1}{n-1}|(\Delta_\beta\hat{u}_j)\beta - (\Delta_{\beta_j}\hat{u}_j)\beta_j|_\beta + |\Phi_j^*\varpi|_\beta + |\Phi_j^*Z(u_j)|_\beta\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

converges to zero as $j \longrightarrow \infty$. Noting that $P(\hat{u}_j)$ and $\Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j$ are locally uniformly bounded, (5.9) and (5.10) implies that $P(\hat{u}_j)^n$ converges to zero uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}^n$, since we have

$$P(\hat{u}_j)^n - \Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j^n = P(\hat{u}_j)^n - \left(\Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j\right)^n = \left(P(\hat{u}_j) - \Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j\right)\sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\left(P(\hat{u}_j)^r \wedge (\Phi_j^*\tilde{\omega}_j)^{n-1-r}\right).$$

Then use the arguments in [42], we know that u is maximal. From Theorem 5.3, we know that u is a constant, a contradiction to $\nabla u(0) \neq 0$. □

6. Proof of the uniqueness and long time existence of the main theorem

In this section, we will give the proof of the uniqueness and long time existence of Theorem 1.4. To get this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let u be the solution to (1.7) on $M \times [0, T)$. Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, T)$ and every positive integer k, there is a constant C_k, depending only on k, ϵ and the initial data on M such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[\epsilon, T)} |\nabla^k u(x, t)| \leq C_k. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, we can deduce a priori $C^{2,\gamma}$ estimates of u from [4, Theorem 5.3] and the discussion in the proof of [5, Lemma 6.1] directly (cf. [44]). Higher order estimates can be obtained after differentiating the equation and applying the usual bootstrapping method. □

Then we can prove the long time existence and uniqueness of the solution in the main theorem.

Proof of the uniqueness and long time existence of Theorem 1.4. The uniqueness is the result of standard parabolic equation theory. Suppose that $T < \infty$. From Lemma 3.1, there exists a uniform constant C such that

$$|u(x,t)| \leq |u_0(x)| + T\sup_{M\times[0,T)} |\dot{u}| \leq C(T+1) < \infty. \tag{6.2}$$

This together with Lemma 6.1 and short time existence theorem implies that we can extend the solution to (1.7) on $[0, T+\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, absurd. We can find more details about this standard discussion in the proof of [37, Theorem 3.1] (cf.[33, 47]). □

7. The Harnack inequality

In this section we consider the Harnack inequalities of the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = L(\varphi) \tag{7.1}$$

where L is defined as in (3.1), which are analogs to Li and Yau [26]. Note that Cao [2] stated this result on Kähler manifolds and Gill [17] also proved it on Hermitian manifolds (cf. [5, 46]). This is another necessary preparation for the proof of our main theorem. For convenience, we give a lemma which can be easily obtained from Lemma 6.1 as follows.

Lemma 7.1. *Let u be the solution to (1.7) on $M \times [0, \infty)$. Then for every positive integer k, there is a constant C_k, depending only on k and the initial data on M such that*

$$\sup_{M\times[0,\infty)} |\nabla^k u(x, t)| \leq C_k.$$

Then we give our Harnack inequality as follows.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that φ is a positive solution to (7.1) and define $f = \log\varphi$ and $F = t\left(|\partial f|^2 - \alpha f_t\right)$. There holds*

$$L(F) - F_t \geq \frac{1}{2n}\left(|\partial f|^2 - f_t\right)^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}\left\langle \partial f, \partial F\right\rangle - \left(|\partial f|^2 - \alpha f_t\right) - Ct|\partial f|^2 - Ct, \tag{7.2}$$

where the definition of $|\partial f|^2$ can be found in the proof.

Proof. The ideas are originated from Li and Yau [26], hence we can be brief and just point out the main differences. For convenience, we introduce some notations as follows.

$$\langle \chi, \xi\rangle := \Theta^{\overline{j}i}\chi_i\overline{\xi_j}, \quad |\partial f|^2 := \langle \partial f, \partial f\rangle, \quad |\partial\overline{\partial} f|^2 := \Theta^{\overline{j}i}\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k} f_{i\overline{\ell}} f_{\overline{j}k}, \quad |D^2 f|^2 := \Theta^{\overline{j}i}\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k} f_{ik} f_{\overline{j}\overline{\ell}},$$

where χ and ξ are $(1,0)$ forms. By direct computation, we get

$$L(f) - f_t = -|\partial f|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$F = -tL(f) - t(\alpha - 1)f_t. \tag{7.3}$$

Then we can deduce

$$(L(f))_t = \frac{1}{t^2}F - \frac{1}{t}F_t - (\alpha - 1)f_{tt}.$$

Again direct computation implies

$$F_t = |\partial f|^2 - \alpha f_t + 2t\mathrm{Re}\left\langle \partial f, \partial f_t\right\rangle + t\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right) f_i f_{\overline{j}} - \alpha t f_{tt}, \tag{7.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k\overline{\ell}} =& t\Big[\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{k\overline{\ell}} f_i f_{\overline{j}} + \left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{k} f_{i\overline{\ell}} f_{\overline{j}} + \left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{k} f_i f_{\overline{j}\overline{\ell}} \\ &+ \left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{\overline{\ell}} f_{ik} f_{\overline{j}} + \Theta^{\overline{j}i} f_{ik\overline{\ell}} f_{\overline{j}} + \Theta^{\overline{j}i} f_{ik} f_{\overline{j}\overline{\ell}} \\ &+ \left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{\overline{\ell}} f_i f_{\overline{j}k} + \Theta^{\overline{j}i} f_{i\overline{\ell}} f_{\overline{j}k} + \Theta^{\overline{j}i} f_i f_{\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} - \alpha f_{tk\overline{\ell}}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.6)\quad & t\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\Theta^{\overline{j}i}f_{ik\overline{\ell}}f_{\overline{j}}+t\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\Theta^{\overline{j}i}f_if_{\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}}\\
=&2t\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial\left(\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}f_{k\overline{\ell}}\right)\right\rangle-t\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\left(\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\right)_i f_{k\overline{\ell}}f_{\overline{j}}-t\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\left(\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\right)_{\overline{j}}f_{k\overline{\ell}}f_i\\
\geq&2t\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial\left(\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}f_{k\overline{\ell}}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{C_1t}{\varepsilon}|\partial f|^2-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2\\
=&-2\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial F\right\rangle-2t\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f)\right)\right\rangle-2t(\alpha-1)\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\,\partial f_t\right\rangle-\frac{C_1t}{\varepsilon}|\partial f|^2-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2\\
=&-2\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial F\right\rangle-2t\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f)\right)\right\rangle-\frac{C_1t}{\varepsilon}|\partial f|^2-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2\\
&-(\alpha-1)F_t+(\alpha-1)\left(|\partial f|^2-\alpha f_t\right)+(\alpha-1)t\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)f_if_{\overline{j}}-(\alpha-1)\alpha tf_{tt}\\
\geq&-2\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial F\right\rangle-\frac{C_2t}{\varepsilon}|\partial f|^2-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2-\varepsilon t|D^2f|^2-C_3t|\partial f|^2\\
&-(\alpha-1)F_t+(\alpha-1)\left(|\partial f|^2-\alpha f_t\right)-\alpha(\alpha-1)tf_{tt},
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the first inequality we use Young's inequality, for the second equality we use (7.3), for the third equality we use (7.4), and for the second inequality we use Lemma 7.1, Young's inequality and the facts that $Z(f)$ is linear of ∂f and $\overline{\partial}f$.

Also using Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.7)\quad -\alpha t\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}f_{tk\overline{\ell}}=&\alpha t\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\right)f_{k\overline{\ell}}-\alpha t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}f_{k\overline{\ell}}\right)\\
\geq&-\frac{C_4t}{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2+\alpha t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f)\right)-\frac{\alpha}{t}F+\alpha F_t+\alpha(\alpha-1)tf_{tt}\\
\geq&-\frac{C_5t}{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2-C_6t|\partial f|^2+\alpha t\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f_t)\right)-\frac{\alpha}{t}F+\alpha F_t+\alpha(\alpha-1)tf_{tt},
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the second inequality we also use Lemma 7.1.

According to the definition of F, Lemma 7.1 and Young's inequality, we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.8)\qquad \mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(F)=&t\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}f_if_{\overline{j}}\right)\right)-\alpha t\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f_t)\right)\\
\geq&-\frac{C_7t}{\varepsilon}|\partial f|^2-C_8t|\partial f|^2-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2-\varepsilon t|D^2f|^2-\alpha t\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f_t)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using Young's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.9)\qquad & t\Theta^{\overline{\ell}k}\left[\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{k\overline{\ell}}f_if_{\overline{j}}+\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_k f_{i\overline{\ell}}f_{\overline{j}}+\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_k f_if_{\overline{j}\overline{\ell}}+\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{\overline{\ell}}f_{ik}f_{\overline{j}}+\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}\right)_{\overline{\ell}}f_if_{\overline{j}k}\right]\\
&\geq-C_9t|\partial f|^2-\frac{C_{10}t}{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2-\varepsilon t|D^2f|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (7.4), (7.5), (7.6), (7.7), (7.8) and (7.9), we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7.10)\qquad L(F)-F_t\geq&-\frac{C_5+C_{10}}{\varepsilon}t-2\mathrm{Re}\left\langle\partial f,\partial F\right\rangle+(1-4\varepsilon)t|\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2+(1-3\varepsilon)t|D^2f|^2\\
&+\left(C_3+C_6+C_8+C_9+\frac{C_2+C_7}{\varepsilon}\right)t|\partial f|^2-\left(|\partial f|^2-\alpha f_t\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
(7.11)\qquad |\partial\overline{\partial}f|^2\geq\frac{1}{n}\left(\Theta^{\overline{j}i}f_{i\overline{j}}\right)^2=\frac{1}{n}\left(|\partial f|^2-f_t+\mathrm{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}}Z(f)\right)^2
$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n}(1-\varepsilon)\left(|\partial f|^2 - f_t\right)^2 - C\varepsilon|\partial f|^2,$$

where for the second inequality we use Young's inequality.

Then from (7.10) and (7.11), we can deduce (7.2). □

Using Lemma 7.2 and standard discussion, we can get

Lemma 7.3. *Using the notations as in Lemma 7.2, for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, there exist uniform constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$|\partial f|^2 - \alpha f_t \leq C_1 + \frac{C_2}{t}. \tag{7.12}$$

Then by this lemma we can deduce the following theorem which will be used in the convergence discussion.

Theorem 7.4. *Using the notations as in Lemma 7.2, for any $0 < t_1 < t_2$, there holds*

$$\sup_{x\in M}\varphi(x,t_1) \leq \inf_{x\in M}\varphi(x,t_2)\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right)^{C_1}\exp\left(\frac{C_2}{t_2-t_1} + C_3(t_2-t_1)\right), \tag{7.13}$$

where C_1, C_2 and C_3 are uniform constants.

We remark that the proofs of Lemma 7.3 and Theorem 7.4 are routine discussion. Here considering the length of the paper, we omit them (see for example [5, 17]).

8. Proof of the convergence in the main theorem

In this section, we will give the proof of the second part of Theorem 1.4. Since the discussion is standard, we will be brief and just point out the main differences.

Proof of the convergence of Theorem 1.4. For any positive integer m, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_m(x,t) =& \sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m-1) - \dot{u}(x,m-1+t)\\ \psi_m(x,t) =& \dot{u}(x,m-1+t) - \inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m-1).\end{aligned}$$

These functions satisfy the equation (7.1).

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\dot{u}(x,m-1)$ is not constant. The maximum principle implies that ξ_m and ψ_m are positive solutions to (7.1). Using Theorem 7.4 with $t_1 = 1/2$ and $t_2 = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m-1) - \inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(x,m-1/2) &\leq C\left(\sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m-1) - \sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(x,m)\right)\\ \sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m-1/2) - \inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(x,m-1) &\leq C\left(\inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,m) - \inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(x,m-1)\right),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\theta(m-1) + \theta(m-1/2) \leq C\left(\theta(m-1) - \theta(m)\right),$$

where $\theta(t) = \sup_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,t) - \inf_{y\in M}\dot{u}(y,t)$. Then by induction, we get

$$\theta(t) \leq Ce^{-\eta t}, \tag{8.1}$$

where $\eta = \log\frac{C}{C-1}$.

Since $\int_M \tilde{u}\alpha^n = 0$, we obtain $\int_M \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}\alpha^n = 0$, which implies that there exists a point $y \in M$ with $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}(y,t) = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}(x,t)\right| &= \left|\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}(x,t) - \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}(y,t)\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(y,t)\right| \\ &\leq Ce^{-\eta t}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

Consider the quantity $Q = \tilde{u} + \frac{C}{\eta}e^{-\eta t}$. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} - Ce^{-\eta t} \leq 0,$$

which implies that Q converges uniformly as $t \to \infty$ since Q is uniformly bounded and denote the limit by $\tilde{u}_\infty$. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde{u} = \lim_{t\to\infty} Q - \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{C}{\eta}e^{-\eta t} = \tilde{u}_\infty.$$

It follows that the convergence of $\tilde{u}$ to $\tilde{u}_\infty$ is actually C^∞ using the argument by contradiction and the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Note that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta\tilde{u})\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\tilde{u}\right] + Z(\tilde{u})\right)^n}{\alpha^n} - \psi - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_\alpha(M)}\int_M \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\alpha^n.$$

Letting $t \longrightarrow \infty$, (8.2) implies

$$\log \frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta\tilde{u}_\infty)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\tilde{u}_\infty\right] + Z(\tilde{u}_\infty)\right)^n}{\alpha^n} = \psi + \tilde{b},$$

where

$$\tilde{b} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_\alpha(M)}\int_M \left(\log \frac{\left(\varpi + \frac{1}{n-1}\left[(\Delta\tilde{u}_\infty)\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\tilde{u}_\infty\right] + Z(\tilde{u}_\infty)\right)^n}{\alpha^n} - \psi\right)\alpha^n, \tag{8.3}$$

as required.

□

References

[1] Angella, D. *Cohomological aspects in complex non-Kähler geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2095. Springer, Cham, 2014.

[2] Cao, H.-D. *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 2, 359–372.

[3] Cherrier, P. *Équations de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés Hermitiennes compactes*, Bull. Sc. Math (2) **111** (1987), 343–385.

[4] Chu, J. $C^{2,\alpha}$ *regularities and estimates for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations in geometry*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 1, 1-20.

[5] Chu, J. *The parabolic Monge-Ampère equation on compact almost Hermitian manifolds*, arXiv: 1607. 02608.

[6] Chu, J.; Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *The Monge-Ampère equation for non-integrable almost complex structures*, arXiv:1603.00706.

[7] Demailly, J.-P. *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, available on the author's webpage.

[8] Dinew, S.; Kołodziej, S. *Liouville and Calabi-Yau type theorems for complex Hessian equations*, arXiv:1203–3995.

[9] Fang, S.; Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B.; Zheng, T. *Inoue surfaces and the Chern-Ricci flow*, to appear in J. Funct. Anal. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2016.08.013.

[10] Fu, J.; Wang, Z.; Wu, D. *Form-type Calabi-Yau equations*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 5, 887–903.

[11] Fu, J.; Wang, Z; Wu, D., *Form-type Calabi-Yau equations on Kähler manifolds of nonnegative orthogonal bisectional curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **52** (2015), no. 1-2, 327–344.

[12] Fu, J.; Xiao, J. *Relations between the Kähler cone and the balanced cone of a Kähler manifold*, Adv. Math., **263** (2014), 230–252.

[13] Gauduchon, P. *Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **285** (1977), no. 5, A387–A390.

[14] Gauduchon, P. *La* 1*-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte*, Math. Ann. **267** (1984), 495–518.

[15] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N. S., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.

[16] Gerhardt, C. *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), 612-641.

[17] Gill, M. *Convergence of the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), no. 2, 277-303.

[18] Gill, M. *The Chern-Ricci flow on smooth minimal models of general type*, arXiv: 1307.0066.

[19] Gill, M. *The behavior of Chern scalar curvature under Chern-Ricci flow*, arXiv: 1311.6534.

[20] Gill, M. *Long time existence of the* $(n-1)$*-plurisubharmonic flow*, arXiv:1410.6958.

[21] Harvey, F. R.; Lawson, H. B. *Dirichlet duality and the nonlinear Dirichlet problem on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **88** (2011), no. 3, 395–482.

[22] Harvey, F. R.; Lawson, H. B. *Geometric plurisubharmonicity and convexity: an introduction*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 4-6, 2428–2456.

[23] Hou, Z.; Ma, X.-N.; Wu, D. *A second order estimate for complex Hessian equations on a compact Kähler manifold*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 3, 547–561.

[24] Jost, J.; Yau, S.-T. *A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry*, Acta Math. **170** (1993), no. 2, 221–254; Correction, Acta Math. **173** (1994), no. 2, 307.

[25] Krylov, N.V. *Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[26] Li, P.; Yau, S.-T. *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. **156** (1986), 153–201.

[27] Lieberman, G. *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore New Jersey London Hong Kong, 1996.

[28] Lu, Q. *The new results of the classical manifolds and the classical domains*(in Chinese), Shanghai Scientific and Technical Publishers, Shanghai in China, 1997.

[29] Michelsohn, M. L. *On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry*, Acta Math., **149** (1982), no.1,261-295.

[30] Popovici, D. *Deformation limits of projective manifolds: Hodge numbers and strongly Gauduchon metrics*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 3, 515–534.

[31] Popovici, D. *Holomorphic Deformations of Balanced Calabi-Yau* $\partial\bar{\partial}$*-Manifolds*, arXiv:1304.0331.

[32] Popovici, D. *Aeppli cohomology classes associated with Gauduchon metrics on compact complex manifolds*, Bulletion De La Société Mathématique De France, **143** (2015), 763-800.

[33] Song, J.; Weinkove, B. *Introduction to the Kähler-Ricci flow*, Chapter 3 of 'Introduction to the Kähler-Ricci flow', eds S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, Lecture Notes Math. 2086, Springer 2013.

[34] Spruck, J. *Geometric aspects of the theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Global theory of minimal surfaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, 283–309.

[35] Székelyhidi, G. *Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*, arXiv:1501.02762v2.

[36] Székelyhidi, G.; Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *Gauduchon metrics with prescribed volume form*, arXiv:1503.04491.

[37] Tosatti, V. *KAWA lecture notes on the Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1508.04823.

[38] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *Estimates for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian and balanced manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **14** (2010), no.1, 19–40.

[39] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 1187–1195.

[40] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B., *On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern-Ricci form*, J. Differential Geom. **99** (2015), no. 1, 125-163.

[41] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *The Chern-Ricci flow on complex surfaces*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 12, 2101-2138.

[42] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *The Monge-Ampère equation for* $(n-1)$*-plurisubharmonic functions on a compact Kähler manifold*, arXiv:1305.7511.

[43] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B. *Hermitian metrics,* $(n-1, n-1)$ *forms and Monge-Ampère equations*, arXiv:1310.6326.

[44] Tosatti, V.; Wang, Y.; Weinkove, B.; Yang, X. $C^{2,\alpha}$ *estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations in complex and almost complex geometry*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 431–453.
[45] Tosatti, V.; Weinkove, B.; Yang, X. *Collapsing of the Chern-Ricci flow on elliptic surfaces*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), no. 3-4, 1223-1271.
[46] Weinkove, B. *The J-Flow, the Mabuchi energy, the Yang-Mills flow and Multiplier Ideal Sheaves*, PhD thesis, Columbia University, 2004.
[47] Weinkove, B. *The Kähler-Ricci flow on compact Kähler manifolds*, Lecture Notes for Park City Mathematics Institute 2014.
[48] Yang, X. *The Chern-Ricci flow and holomorphic bisectional curvature*, to appear in Sci. China Math. DOI: 10.1007/s11425-016-5152-3
[49] Yau, S.-T. *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no.3, 339–411.
[50] Zheng, T. *The Chern-Ricci flow on Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds*, to appear in Canad. J. Math. DOI: 10.4153/CJM-2015-053-0.

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, 100 rue des maths, Gières 38610, France

E-mail address: zhengtao08@amss.ac.cn